Remarks/Arguments:

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed on

July 7, 2008.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant

regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 11 and 21 have been amended to be clearer.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being as being anticipated by Narin et

al., (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0158709) in view of Microsoft Windows Media Player.

Wolfram MathLM describes a system where a group of users share licenses from a

central location. A restriction script is used by a system administrator to allow or delay certain

machines from having access to the licenses at the central location. Wolfram MathLM

technically, doesn't lock a license to a specific machine, but the restriction script is used to

control what machines have access to a pool of licenses.

Claims 1, 11 and 21 include the feature that "the access rules are used to determine

whether to allow the member to lock the software associated with the one of the software

licenses to a new IP address; and in response to member input, locking the software associated

with the one of the software licenses to the new IP address". This is different than in the systems

of the prior art.

Wolfram MathLM only envisions that the administrator, through the restriction scripts,

be able to control which machines can access a license. Wolfram MathLM does not disclose the

delegation of the right to change the IP lock to a user (by using an access rule).

Attorney Docket No.: ORACL-01454US2 JOmalley/ORACL/01454us2/070708 OA Response The system of embodiments of the claims of the present invention can allow the

administrator to allow certain trusted group members to change the IP lock, while other group

members are restricted from doing so.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the

subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The

Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in

expediting issuance of a patent.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment

to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any

9

fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 16, 2008

By: /Joseph P. O'Malley/ Joseph P. O'Malley

Reg. No. 36,226

FLIESLER MEYER LLP 650 California Street, 14th Floor San Francisco, California 94108

Customer No. 80548

Telephone: (415) 362-3800

Attorney Docket No.: ORACL-01454US2 JOmalley/ORACL/01454us2/070708 OA Response